

# Semantics

---

Greg Kobele

April 23, 2018

# Review

---

# Elementhood

$x \in A$

*x is one of the members of A*

## Equality

iff have exactly the same members

## Define sets

by specifying their members

# Sequences

$\langle a, b, a, c \rangle$

first comes  $a$ , then  $b$ , then  $a$  again, then finally  $c$

**Sequences are equal**

iff they have

1. the same length
2. the same elements at each position

**Defining a sequence**

1. how long is it?
2. what is at each position?

## A picture of the world

- what things there are
- what properties they have

$$\mathcal{M} = (E, I)$$

- $E$  is a set of individuals
- $I$  interprets the words of our language in the model

## Build sentence meanings from word meanings

$\llbracket \phi \rrbracket^{\mathcal{M}}$  is the meaning of  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{M}$

- for any word  $w$ ,  $\llbracket w \rrbracket = I(w)$

# Properties

things have properties or not

things are elements of sets, or not

- we represent properties as sets

kind

$[[kind]]$  is the set of kind things

# Intransitives

## John laughs

true iff John actually laughs

- he either does (then true)
- or doesn't (then false)

## things do actions, or not

represent actions as the set of those things which do them

# Transitives

---



# Relations

Things don't just have properties,  
they stand in relations to others

- I like lasagna
- My wife likes yoga

but

- Lasagna doesn't like me
- Yoga doesn't like my wife

Relations as sets of pairs

- I like lasagna

$$\langle \llbracket me \rrbracket, \llbracket lasagna \rrbracket \rangle \in \llbracket like \rrbracket$$

## Transitive sentences

John praises Mary

$$\llbracket \text{John praises Mary} \rrbracket = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \langle \llbracket \text{John} \rrbracket, \llbracket \text{Mary} \rrbracket \rangle \in \llbracket \text{praise} \rrbracket \\ 0 & \text{if } \langle \llbracket \text{John} \rrbracket, \llbracket \text{Mary} \rrbracket \rangle \notin \llbracket \text{praise} \rrbracket \end{cases}$$

in general

$$\llbracket NP_1 V NP_2 \rrbracket = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \langle \llbracket NP_1 \rrbracket, \llbracket NP_2 \rrbracket \rangle \in \llbracket V \rrbracket \\ 0 & \text{if } \langle \llbracket NP_1 \rrbracket, \llbracket NP_2 \rrbracket \rangle \notin \llbracket V \rrbracket \end{cases}$$

# Interpreting parts of sentences

currently just interpret constructions

predicative adjective *NP is Adj*

Adj coordination *Adj and Adj*

intransitive *NP V*

transitive *NP V NP*

Why should we interpret parts of sentences?

anywhere there is *infinity*, we must find *finitude*

- boolean operations
- recursive embedding

want to interpret VP *praise Mary*

# Praising Mary

praise Mary

$$\llbracket \text{praiseMary} \rrbracket = f_{TVP}(\llbracket \text{praise} \rrbracket, \llbracket \text{Mary} \rrbracket)$$

## Compositionality

*The meaning of a sentence is determined by*

- 1. the meanings of its parts*
- 2. the way they are put together*

## Putting Mary and praise together

$$f_{TVP}(\llbracket \textit{praise} \rrbracket, \llbracket \textit{Mary} \rrbracket) = ???$$

### Considerations

1.  $f_S(\llbracket \textit{John} \rrbracket, f_{TVP}(\llbracket \textit{praise} \rrbracket, \llbracket \textit{Mary} \rrbracket)) = 1$  iff  $\langle \llbracket \textit{John} \rrbracket, \llbracket \textit{Mary} \rrbracket \rangle \in \llbracket \textit{praise} \rrbracket$
2.  $\llbracket \textit{praise Mary and laugh} \rrbracket$  should be defined

## Putting Mary and praise together

$$f_{TVP}(\llbracket \textit{praise} \rrbracket, \llbracket \textit{Mary} \rrbracket) = ???$$

### Considerations

1.  $f_S(\llbracket \textit{John} \rrbracket, f_{TVP}(\llbracket \textit{praise} \rrbracket, \llbracket \textit{Mary} \rrbracket)) = 1$  iff  $\langle \llbracket \textit{John} \rrbracket, \llbracket \textit{Mary} \rrbracket \rangle \in \llbracket \textit{praise} \rrbracket$
2.  $\llbracket \textit{praise Mary and laugh} \rrbracket$  should be defined

$$\{x : \langle x, \llbracket \textit{Mary} \rrbracket \rangle \in \llbracket \textit{praise} \rrbracket\}$$

## VP denotations

*be friendly*

the set of friendly things

$$\{x : x \in \llbracket \text{friendly} \rrbracket\}$$

*laugh*

the set of laughers

$$\{x : x \in \llbracket \text{laugh} \rrbracket\}$$

*praise Mary*

the set of things which praise Mary

$$\{x : \langle x, \llbracket \text{Mary} \rrbracket \rangle \in \llbracket \text{praise} \rrbracket\}$$

## Denotation domains

expression	meaning type
sentence	$\{0, 1\}$
name	$E$
VP	$\wp(E)$
TVP	$\wp(E \times E)$



## Lexical postulates

John kissed Mary  $\implies$  John touched Mary

world knowledge every *kissing* is a *touching*

Constraints on denotations

require  $I(\textit{kiss}) \subseteq I(\textit{touch})$

only interpretations satisfying the above are considered

Semantics

1. denotations of words
2. constraints on possible denotations
3. combining word denotations to build sentence denotations

# What about

## lexical categories

- ditransitives?

[[*John gave Susan the book*]]

- prepositions?

[[*The book is under the table*]]

- nouns?

[[*Bill stole the book*]]

- (nominal) adjectives?

[[*The heavy book fell*]]

- determiners?

# Functions

---

# Functions

A special kind of binary relation -  $f \subseteq A \times B$

- A is the **domain**
- B is the **codomain**
- each left-hand-side is paired with exactly one right-hand-side

it makes sense to write:  $f(x) = y$

## Notations

1.  $f = \{\langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 2, 4 \rangle, \langle 3, 9 \rangle, \langle 4, 16 \rangle, \dots\}$

2.  $f = \left[ \begin{array}{l} 1 \mapsto 1 \\ 2 \mapsto 4 \\ 3 \mapsto 9 \\ 4 \mapsto 16 \\ \vdots \end{array} \right.$

## Properties of functions

**injective** each lhs is paired with a *unique* rhs (no two lhs' have the same rhs)

$$\text{if } x \neq y \text{ then } f(x) \neq f(y)$$

**surjective** each element of codomain is paired with some lhs  
for all  $y \in B$ , there is some  $x \in A$  such that  $f(x) = y$

## Counting

If there is an *injection* between two sets,  $A$  and  $B$

- then  $B$  must be *at least as large* as  $A$

works great in finite case

$$[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$$

- $[0] := \emptyset$
- $[1] := \{1\}$
- $[2] := \{1, 2\}$

**cardinality**

$|A| = n$  iff  $n$  is the biggest number such that there is an injection  $f : [n] \rightarrow A$

Counting is weird amongst infinities

- all numbers vs odd numbers?
- numbers vs pairs of numbers (vs triples of numbers)?
- numbers vs sets of numbers?

**Theorem (Cantor's lemma)**

$$A < 2^A$$