Exocentric mutation

Eva Zimmermann & Jochen Trommer (Leipzig University)

January 28th, 2015

OCP 12, Barcelona

Mutation: Morphology by Feature Modification

(1)

V Quality:	Br**u**der	'brother'	∼ Br**ü**der	'brothers'	(German)
C Quality:	**d**astah	'to dig'	∼ **n**astah	'I dig'	(Texistepec Popoluca)
V Length:	gud**ù**	'walk'	∼ gud**ù:**	'walking'	(Hausa)
C Length:	ka**t**ai	'hard'	∼ ka**tt**ai	'hard!'	(Shizuoka Japanese)
Tone:	gw**è**	'swam' (SG)	∼ gw**é**	'swam' (PL)	(Ngbandi)

Two Major Models of Mutation

A. Cyclic Feature Transformation: Mutation is triggered by morphological rules (constraints) which execute (require) feature changes

Morphology	**Phonology**
V́ [N +plural] → [–back]	—
Brüder	

B. Cyclic Feature Concatenation: Mutation is an effect of feature affixation + association of the feature affix to base material

Morphology	**Phonology**
$[\acute{V}]_{N}$ + $_{[-back][+plural]}$	$\acute{V}_{[+back]+[-back]} \rightarrow \acute{V}_{[-back]}$
Bruder+$_{[-back]}$	⇒ Brüder

Mutation cum Segmental Affixation

(2)

V Quality:	B**u**ch	'book'	∼ B**ü**ch-er	'books'	(German)
C Quality:	**f**amar-ɓe	'small' (C2)	∼ **p**amar-o	'small' (C1)	(Fula)
V Length:	t**o**	'take'	∼ t**o:**-ru	'take' (Pass.)	(Tarahumara)
C Length:	ca**m**	'eat' (tr.)	∼ ca**mm**-o	'eat' (intr.)	(Päri)
Tone:	t**á**dà	'boy'	∼ t**à**dà-wa	'boys'	(Kanuri)

Cyclicity in Morphology

[Stem]

[Prf_1– **[Stem]** –Suf_1]

[Prf_2– [Prf_1– **[Stem]** –Suf_1] –Suf_2]

Directionality of Morphonological Processes

Endocentric:



$=_{def}$ A morphophonological process on a morphological constituent *C* is triggered by a constituent C' that is morphologically more peripheral than *C*.

Exocentric:



$=_{def}$ A morphophonological process on a morphological constituent *C* is triggered by a constituent C' that is morphologically less peripheral than *C*.

Endocentric mutation

➙ all examples so far ((1) and (2)) are **endocentric**:

German: [–back] Stem –PL

Päri: Lengthening Stem –o_{INTR}

Kanuri: L-tone Stem –wa_{PL}

…

Where the Models Differ in Their Predictions

Cyclic Feature Transformation

➙ All mutation is endocentric

- Transformations are inherently cyclic base modifications

Cyclic Feature Concatenation

➙ Mutation may be endocentric, exocentric, or mixed

- Morphology: Every morpheme can introduce floating features
  Phonology: Floating features may attach to any phonological object

Strict Base Mutation (SBM, Alderete 1999:141)

All mutation is endocentric.

Goal of this talk

- Show that SBM is empirically untenable (cf. Wolf 2009).
- Provide examples of exocentric mutation for different types of features (length, tone, segmental features).
- Present new formal types of counterexamples to the SBM.

Exocentric Mutation: Data

Exocentric stem-to-affix mutation in Kpelle

- tones: H, M, L, HL; TBU=σ
- 5 classes of nouns; class 2 and 5 have same surface tone pattern but affect following morpheme (affix/word) differently

(3)

		Base	Pl	
1.	H.H	wúlú	wúlú-ɤáà	'tree'
2.	**L.L**	yàlà	yàlà-ɤ**áà**	'lion'
3.	L.HL	yɔ̀wɔ̂	yɔ̀wɔ́-ɤàà	'axe'
4.	H.HL	yílê	yílé-ɤàà	'dog'
5.	**L.L**	gbònò	gbònò-ɤ**àà**	'ring'

(Konoshenko 2008:24)

Exocentric stem-to-affix mutation in Kpelle

Analysis

- plural affix is underlyingly low: /-ɤàà/; e.g. **gbònò-ɤàà** (cl.5)
- final HL-contour on N is simplified and L shifts to affix: yílé-ɤàà (cl.3+4)
- final H of N spreads to this affix: wúlú-ɤáà (cl.1)
- class 2 has a final floating H: **gyàlà-ɤáà**



Gã (Paster 2000, 2003)

- Tense-Aspect is structurally inside of subject agreement

(4)

mí-**n**-cha	'I'm digging'	mí-cha-**a**	'I dig habitually'
1Sg-**Prog**-dig		1Sg-dig-**Hab**	
e-**baá**-cha	'I will dig'	é-**!**lá	'he has sung'
3Sg-**Fut**-dig		3Sg-**Perf**-sing	

(Paster 2000:8, Paster 2003:32)

Exocentric affix-to-affix mutation in Gã

- tonal overwriting of TAM on AGR

(5)

	Habitual (Underlying H/L-Tone)	Perfective (Grammatical H)	Simple Past (Grammatical L)
1Sg	**mí**-cha-a	**mí**-cha	**mi**-dú
2Sg	**o**-cha-a	**ó**-cha	**o**-dú
	('dig')	('dig')	('cultivate')

(Paster 2003:28–30)



Interim summary: Simple cases of exocentric mutation

- Kpelle: stem triggers mutation on more outwards affix
- Gã: affix triggers mutation on more outwards affix

(6) *Simple exocentric mutation: overview*



Chukchee (vow.F)	Gã (tone)
Fula (cons.F)	Gaahmg (tone)
Modern Greek (stress)	Chaha (cons.F.)
Shoshone (length, cons.F (nas, gl))	
Kpelle (tone)	
Awa (tone)	
Fore (tone)	

Endo- vs. autocentric mutation in Dhaasanac

- various morphological lengthenings (gemination/V-lengthening)
- plural for certain nouns formed by suffixation of /-an/ and gemination of a preceding stem consonant (7-a)
- restriction: no gemination in polysyllabic words
- if gemination is blocked for polysyllabic nouns, the affix surfaces with a long V (7-b)

(7)

	Base	Pl	
a.	kur	kur**ː**am	'knee'
	kór	kor**ː**am	'double-pointed fork'
	ʃar	ʃar**ː**am	'a kind of stick'
b.	ʔarːoŋoɗ	ʔarːoŋoɗ**a**ːm	'clearing-stick'
	ʔoŋor	ʔoŋor**a**ːm	'black'
	deger	deger**a**ːm	'barren'

(Tosco 2001:87)

Endo- vs. autocentric mutation in Dhaasanac

Analysis

- morphological lengthening strives to be realized on the stem
- if this is blocked, it is realized on the affix itself



➙ **Alternation between endocentric and autocentric mutation**

Endo- vs. Exo-centric mutation in Tamil

- intransitivization marked by gemination of a stem-final C
  ➙ **endocentric mutation**

(8)

Trans.Stem	Pst		Intr.Stem	Pst	
uu**d**(u)$_{epenth}$	uu**d**-in-	'blow'	uu**tt**(u)$_{epenth}$	uu**tt**-in	'pour'
tirum**b**(u)$_{epenth}$	tirum**b**-in-	'return'	tiru**pp**(u)$_{epenth}$	tiru**pp**-in-	'return'
surun**g**(u)$_{epenth}$	surun**g**-in-	'shrink'	suru**kk**(u)$_{epenth}$	suru**kk**-in-	'shrink'
uu**r**(u)$_{epenth}$	uu**r**-in-	'ooze'	uu**tt**(u)$_{epenth}$	u**tt**-in-	'pour'

(Sundaresan&McFadden 2014:2+3)

Endo- vs. Exo-centric mutation in Tamil

- a different allomorph for the past tense /ndʒ/ for stems in (9) and gemination now affects the past tense suffix (or any suffix in this position)
  ➙ **exocentric mutation**

(9)

Trans.Stem	Pst		Intr.Stem	Pst	
oɖæ	oɖæ-**ndʒ**-	'break'	oɖæ	oɖæ-**čč**-	'break'
veɖi	veɖi-**ndʒ**-	'burst'	veɖi	veɖi-**čč**-	'burst'
vaɭar	vaɭar-**nd**-	'grow'	vaɭar	vaɭar-**tt**-	'grow'
muɖi	muɖi-**ndʒ**-	'be over'	muɖi	muɖi-**čč**-	'finish'

(Sundaresan&McFadden 2014:2+3)

Endo- vs. Exo-centric mutation in Tamil



➙ **Alternation between endo- and exocentric mutation**

Analysis

- the intransitive lengthening strives to be realized as gemination of the following suffix
- for the V-initial Pst-allomorph, gemination of a suffix-C is impossible: gemination of a stem consonant

Interim summary: Complex cases of exocentric mutation

(10) *Complex mutation: overview*

Alternation		
endo- vs. exo-	endo- vs. auto-	exocentric blocking
Tamil (length)	Dhaasanac (length)	Aymara (length)

Cyclic accounts restricted by SBM

Cyclic Transformational Approaches to Morphophonology

1. Word and Paradigm Morphology (Anderson 1992)
2. Transderivational Antifaithfulness Theory (Alderete 1999)
3. Realize Morpheme (Kurisu 2001)

Cyclic Featural Transformations are Inherently Endocentric



Featural Concatenation may have Endocentric Effects…



…or Exocentric Effects



Antifaithfulness (Alderete 1999)

1. transderivational faithfulness relations (Benua 1997): allow to compare (morphologically related) output forms

+

2. every standard faithfulness constraint exists in a negative version demanding *un*faithfulness

→ transderivational antifaithfulness constraints demand **unfaithfulness** with respect to a certain phonological dimension that distinguishes **two morphologically related words**

Antifaithfulness and endocentric mutation

(11) *Antifaithfulness analysis for endocentric mutation in Texistepec Popoluca*

dastah + 1.Sg	MaxS	¬OO-Ident Nas[dastah]	Ident-nas
a. dastah		*!	
b. astah	*!	*!	
☞ c. nastah			*

Recall: exocentric mutation in Gã

(12) *TAM overwrites tone on the subject prefix*

	Perfective (Grammatical H)	Simple Past (Grammatical L)
1Sg	**mí**-cha	**mi**-dú
2Sg	**ó**-cha	**o**-dú
	(‘dig’)	(‘cultivate’)



Antifaithfulness: no mutation on more peripheral affix

(13) *Antifaithfulness analysis for Gã?*



➙ incorrect prediction *[chá]

- no antifaithfulness constraint indexed to Perf can ever enforce a change on a prefix (/mi–/ or /o–/)

Antifaithfulness and exocentric mutation



- Only a mutation can be demanded that **distinguishes a morphologically more complex word from a less complex base**

Antifaithfulness and SBM

(14) *Strict Base Mutation, illustrated (Alderete 1999:141)*

	Base	Derivative	¬OO-Faith	OO-Faith
☞	root	ROOT-af		*!
	root	root-AF	*!	

(capitalization: change/mutation)

(15) *Thesis of Strict Base Mutation (Alderete 1999:141)*
Transderivational Anti-Faithfulness may only affect the base of affixation.

Predicting exocentric mutation in a GNA account

Generalized Nonlinear Affixation (=GNA)

- all mutation and non-concatenative morphology is the result of affixation (Lieber 1987, Bermúdez-Otero 2012, Trommer&Zimmermann 2015)
- a (nonlinear) morpheme may in principle affect the preceding or the following morpheme

(16) *Autosegmental analysis for mutation*



Endocentric mutation: Texistepec Popoluca Mixtec and GNA

(17) *A GNA account for Texistepec Popoluca*

	[+nas] [−nas] [−nas] [−nas] [−nas]	Max[+nas]	*Float	Max[−nas]
	+ d a s t a h			
a.	[−nas] [−nas] [−nas] [−nas] d a s t a h	*!		
b.	[+nas] [−nas] [−nas] [−nas] [−nas] d a s t a h		*!	
☞ b.	[+nas] [−nas] [−nas] [−nas] n a s t a h			*

Exocentric mutation: Gã and GNA (Simple Past)

	*SpreadRight	τ ⇒ π	τ→ π
H L H | | | mi+ + d u			
a. H L H | | | mi d u		*	*!
☞ b. H L H | | | mi d u		*	
c. H L H | | | mi d u	*!	*	

τ → π: Each tone must be associated phonetically or morphologically to a prosodic unit

τ ⇒ π: Each tone must be associated phonetically to a prosodic unit

Alternating mutation: Tamil and GNA

(18) *Tamil and GNA*

	*Fl	*V:	*SpRL	*C:		*Fl	*V:	*SpRL	*C:
μ μ μ | | | o ɖ æ + + ndʒ					μ μ μ | | u d + + i n				
a. μ μ μ | | o ɖ æ ndʒ	*!				a. μ μ μ μ | u d i n	*!	*		
☞ b. μ μ μ | ⋮ o ɖ æ ndʒ				*	b. μ μ μ μ | u d i n		**!		
c. μ μ μ | ⋮ o ɖ æ ndʒ		*!	*		☞ c. μ μ μ μ | ⋮ u d i n		*	*	*

(An undominated constraint preserves *underlying* vowel length)

Conclusion

Summary

- different types of mutation exist in the languages of the world which are not endocentric
- theories that are cyclic-transformational and hence restricted by the SBM suffer from a severe undergeneration problem

References

Alderete, John (2001), *Morphologically governed accent in Optimality Theory*, Routledge, New York.

Anderson, Stephen R. (1992), *A-Morphous Morphology*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Benua, Laura (1997), Transderivational Identity: Phonological Relations between Words, PhD thesis, University of Massachusetts.

Bermúdez-Otero, Ricardo (2012), The architecture of grammar and the division of labour in exponence, *in* J.Trommer, ed., 'The morphology and phonology of exponence: The state of the art', Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 8–83.

Bobaljik, Jonathan D. (2009), 'Disharmony and decay: Itelmen vowel harmony in the Soviet period', talk, given at the meeting of the exponence network, Leipzig, January 17, 2009.

Caballero, Gabriela (2008), Choguita Rarámuri (Tarahumara) Phonology and Morphology, PhD thesis, UC Berkeley.

Coler, Matt (2010), A grammatical description of Muylaq' Aymara, PhD thesis, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam.

Gerfen, Henry (1996), Topics in the phonology and phonetics of Coatzospan Mixtec, PhD thesis, University of Arizona.

Kenstowicz, Michael and Charles Kisseberth (1979), *Generative phonology: description and theory*, New York: Academic Press.

Konoshenko, Maria (2008), 'Tonal systems in three dialects of the Kpelle language', *Mandenkan* **44**, 21–42.

Kurisu, Kazutaka (2001), The Phonology of Morpheme Realization, PhD thesis, University of California at Santa Cruz. ROA 490-0102.

Lieber, Rochelle (1987), *An Integrated Theory of Autosegmental Processes*, SUNY Press.

Nishiguchi, Sumiyo (2007), 'Bimoraic filter and sonority sensitive syllable contact in Dasenach imperfective', *Research in African Languages and Linguistics* **7**, 43–58.

Nishiguchi, Sumiyo (2009), The prosodic morpheme in Dasenach, *in* C. G.Häberl, ed., 'Afroasiatic Studies in Memory of Robert Hetzron: Proceedings of the 35th Annual Meeting of the North American Conference on Afroasiatic Linguistics (NACAL 35)', Cambridge Scholars Publishing.

Paster, Mary (2000), Issues in the tonology of g a. Columbus: Ohio State University, Undergraduate thesis.

Paster, Mary (2003), 'Floating tones in gã', *Studies in African Linguistics* **32**(1), 17–39.

Rose, Sharon (2007), Chaha (Gurage) morphology, *in* A. S.Kaye, ed., 'Morphologies of Asia and Africa', Eisenbraums, pp. 399–424.

Sundaresan, Sandhya and Thomas McFadden (2014), 'Notes on the morphophonology of the Tamil verb-stem alternation', talk given at GLOW 37, Brussels, March 19.

Tosco, Mauro (2001), *The Dhaasanac language*, Rüdiger Köppe Verlag.

Trommer, Jochen and Eva Zimmermann (to appear), 'Generalized mora affixation', *Phonology* .

Wolf, Matthew (2007), For an autosegmental theory of mutation, *in* L.Bateman, M.O'Keefe, E.Reilly, and A.Werle, eds, 'University of Massachusetts Occasional Papers in Linguistics 32: Papers in Optimality Theory III', GLSA, Amherst, MA, pp. 315–404.