

---

## Advanced Statistical Physics - Problem Set 4

---

*Summer Term 2025*

**Due Date:** Thursday, May 01, 17:00. Hand in tasks marked with \* via Moodle.

### 1. The Néel state

*4 + 4 + 4 + 4 Points*

In this problem set, you will learn about antiferromagnetism in a half filled lattice model with

$$\langle \hat{n}(\mathbf{r}_j) \rangle = \sum_{\sigma} \langle \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}_j) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}_j) \rangle = 1$$

for every lattice site  $\mathbf{r}_j$ . In the following, we consider a 2D square lattice with lattice constant  $a = 1$ .

- (a)\* Before taking interactions into account, let us consider the kinetic energy of electrons hopping between nearest neighbouring sites only. The Hamiltonian is

$$H_0 = -t \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{\langle \mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j \rangle} \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}_i) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}_j) ,$$

with  $t$  being the nearest neighbour hopping amplitude, and  $\langle \mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j \rangle$  denoting that  $\mathbf{r}_i$  and  $\mathbf{r}_j$  are nearest neighbour lattice sites.

Using the Fourier decomposition of the field operators, show that  $H_0$  is diagonal in momentum space and can be expressed as

$$H_0 = \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \varepsilon(\mathbf{k}) \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma} ,$$

with  $\varepsilon(\mathbf{k}) = -2t [\cos k_x + \cos k_y]$ . What are the allowed values for  $\mathbf{k}$  and what is the range of the  $\mathbf{k}$ -summation if we consider a  $N \times N$  lattice with periodic boundary conditions? In order to understand the following tasks, sketch the Fermi surface of the 2D square lattice in the first Brillouin zone.

*Hint:* The Fermi surface  $\Omega$  is defined as  $\Omega = \{\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon(\mathbf{k}) = \varepsilon_F\}$ , with  $\varepsilon_F$  being the Fermi energy. You might use that  $\varepsilon_F = 0$  and

$$\cos x + \cos y = 2 \cos \left( \frac{x+y}{2} \right) \cos \left( \frac{x-y}{2} \right) .$$

- (b)\* If the on-site contribution of the Coulomb interaction is dominant, the Hamiltonian is of Hubbard type. Using the identity  $\sum_i \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^i \sigma_{\gamma\delta}^i = 2\delta_{\alpha\delta}\delta_{\beta\gamma} - \delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{\gamma\delta}$  and neglecting terms renormalizing the chemical potential, the interaction Hamiltonian is given by

$$H_{\text{int}} = -\frac{2U}{3} \sum_{\mathbf{r}_j} \left( \hat{S}(\mathbf{r}_j) \right)^2 .$$

Here,  $\hat{S}_i(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \hat{\psi}_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^i \hat{\psi}_{\beta}(\mathbf{r})$ , with  $\sigma^i$  denoting the  $i$ -th Pauli matrix is the spin operator in a second quantized notation, and  $U$  is the on-site interaction strength. In the

following, we want to perform a mean field analysis for the Hubbard Hamiltonian. The mean field decoupling of  $H_{\text{int}}$  yields

$$H_{\text{int}}^{\text{MF}} = \frac{3}{8U} \sum_{\mathbf{r}_j} \left( \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}_j) \right)^2 + \sum_{\mathbf{r}_j} \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}_j) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{r}_j) ,$$

with the magnetization  $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}_j)$  given by  $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}_j) = -(4U/3)\langle \hat{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{r}_j) \rangle$ .

Show that in momentum space the mean field Hubbard Hamiltonian is given by

$$H_{\text{int}}^{\text{MF}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left[ \frac{3}{8U} |\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{k})|^2 + \mathbf{M}^*(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{k}) \right] ,$$

with  $\hat{S}_i(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q},\alpha}^\dagger \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^i \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},\beta}$  being the spin operator in momentum space.

An antiferromagnetic state is characterized by a magnetization  $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}_j) = M_0 \cos(\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_j)$ , with order parameter momentum  $\mathbf{Q} = (\pi, \pi)$ . Describe the meaning of the vector  $\mathbf{Q}$  by using your sketch of the Fermi surface from task (a). The vector  $\mathbf{Q}$  is called the nesting vector. Is there another nesting vector  $\mathbf{Q}'$  for the 2D square lattice at half filling?

(c) Show that the total mean field Hamiltonian in momentum space is given by

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\text{MF}} = & \frac{3}{8U} M_0^2 N^2 + \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \varepsilon(\mathbf{k}) \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma} \\ & + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \cdot \mathbf{M}_0 \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},\alpha}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q},\beta} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \cdot \mathbf{M}_0 \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},\alpha}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{Q},\beta} . \end{aligned}$$

In order to find the eigenvalues, we introduce the spinor

$$\hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma} \\ \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q},\sigma} \end{pmatrix} .$$

Due to the doubling of degrees of freedom and by using the parity symmetry  $\varepsilon(\mathbf{k}) = \varepsilon(-\mathbf{k})$  of the dispersion relation, we can restrict the range of the  $\mathbf{k}$ -summation to the upper half of the BZ denoted by  $I = \{\mathbf{k}, -\pi \leq k_x \leq \pi, 0 \leq k_y \leq \pi\}$ . Show that the Hamiltonian can be recast in the form

$$H^{\text{MF}} = \frac{3}{8U} M_0^2 N^2 + \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in I} \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) \mathcal{H}_{\sigma\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}) ,$$

with

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^0 \cdot \varepsilon(\mathbf{k}) & \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{M}_0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{M}_0 & -\sigma^0 \cdot \varepsilon(\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix} = \tau^z \otimes \sigma^0 \cdot \varepsilon(\mathbf{k}) + \tau^x \otimes \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{M}_0}{2} ,$$

with  $\sigma^0 = \mathbb{I}_2$  being the identity matrix, and  $\tau^x$  and  $\tau^z$  being Pauli matrices.

Diagonalize  $\mathcal{H}$  and determine its eigenvalues in order to find the spectrum of the Hamiltonian. Show that the system acquires a band gap given by

$$\Delta = |\mathbf{M}_0| \equiv M_0 .$$

*Hint:* You may use that  $\varepsilon(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q}) = -\varepsilon(\mathbf{k})$ . Further, you may want to calculate  $\mathcal{H}^2$  first and next determine its eigenvalues. Then, argue how to relate the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{H}^2$ . You will come up with the result that there are two eigenvalues  $E_{\pm}(\mathbf{k}) = \pm E(\mathbf{k})$ , which are both doubly degenerate.

- (d) For the remainder of this task, we apply the limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$  and consider the energy per lattice site  $\mathcal{E} = E/N^2$ , with  $E$  being the total energy of the system. Using your result from the previous task, show that  $\mathcal{E}$  is given by

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{3}{8U} M_0^2 - 2 \int_{\substack{0 \leq k_i \leq \pi \\ k_x + k_y \leq \pi}} \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} E(\mathbf{k}) .$$

Show that energy minimization leads to the condition

$$\frac{3}{2U} M_0 = \int_{\substack{0 \leq k_i \leq \pi \\ k_x + k_y \leq \pi}} \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{M_0}{\sqrt{\varepsilon(\mathbf{k})^2 + \frac{1}{4} M_0^2}} .$$

Identify the two possible solutions and find an expression for the gap parameter  $\Delta = M_0$  as a function of the interaction strength  $U$  and the hopping parameter  $t$  in the limit of small  $\Delta$ .

*Hint:* The integral is logarithmically divergent in the limit  $M_0 \rightarrow 0$ . In fact, the integral is dominated by contributions with momenta around  $k_x + k_y = \pi$ . Thus, we make the approximation that

$$\cos\left(\frac{k_x + k_y}{2}\right) \approx \frac{\pi - k_x - k_y}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \cos\left(\frac{k_x - k_y}{2}\right) \approx 1 .$$

This allows to compute the integral over occupied momenta by neglecting the actual dependence on  $k_x - k_y$ . Your result should be

$$\frac{3}{2U} \simeq \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{2t} \sinh^{-1}\left(\frac{2t\pi}{M_0}\right) .$$

Use this to find an expression for  $M_0$  in the weak coupling limit  $U/2t \rightarrow 0$ .