
QFT of Many-Particle Systems - Problem Set 10

Summer Semester 2024

Due: The problem set will be discussed in the tutorial on **Friday, 14.06.2024, 13:30**.

Internet: The problem sets can be downloaded from
https://home.uni-leipzig.de/stp/QFT_of_MPS_SS24.html

1. The Cooper Problem

3+3+2 Punkte

Consider a pair of electrons in a singlet state, described by the symmetric spatial wave function

$$\phi(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \chi(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')} . \quad (1)$$

In the momentum representation the Schrödinger equation has the form

$$\left(E - 2 \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} \right) \chi(\mathbf{k}) = \int \frac{d^3k'}{(2\pi)^3} V(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') \chi(\mathbf{k}') . \quad (2)$$

We assume that the two electrons interact in the presence of a degenerate free electron gas, whose existence is felt only via the exclusion principle: electron levels with $k < k_F$ are forbidden to each of the two electrons, which gives the constraint:

$$\chi(\mathbf{k}) = 0, \quad k < k_F . \quad (3)$$

We take the interaction of the pair to have the simple attractive form

$$V(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = \begin{cases} -g, & \epsilon_F \leq \frac{\hbar^2 k_i^2}{2m} \leq \epsilon_F + \hbar\omega_D, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} , \quad (4)$$

with $i = 1, 2$, and look for a bound-state solution to the Schrödinger equation (2) consistent with the constraint (3). Since we are considering only one-electron levels which in the absence of the attraction have energies in the excess of $2\epsilon_F$, a bound state will be one with energy less than $2\epsilon_F$, and the binding energy will be

$$\Delta = 2\epsilon_F - E . \quad (5)$$

(a) Show that a bound state of energy E exists provided that

$$1 = g \int_{\epsilon_F}^{\epsilon_F + \hbar\omega_D} d\epsilon \frac{\rho(\epsilon)}{2\epsilon - E} , \quad (6)$$

where $\rho(\epsilon)$ is the density of one-electron levels per unit volume for a given spin.

(b) Show that Eq. (6) has a solution with $E < 2\epsilon_F$ for arbitrarily weak g , provided that $\rho(\epsilon_F) \neq 0$ and that $\rho(\epsilon)$ is continuous. (Note the crucial role played by the exclusion principle: If the lower cutoff was not ϵ_F , but 0, then since $\rho(0) = 0$, there would *not* be a solution for arbitrarily weak couplings.)

- (c) Assuming that $\rho(\epsilon)$ differs negligibly from $\rho(\epsilon_F) = \rho_F$ in the range $\epsilon_F < \epsilon < \epsilon_F + \hbar\omega_D$, show that the binding energy is given by

$$\Delta = 2\hbar\omega_D \frac{e^{-\frac{2}{g\rho_F}}}{1 - e^{-\frac{2}{g\rho_F}}}, \quad (7)$$

or, in the weak coupling limit:

$$\Delta = 2\hbar\omega_D e^{-\frac{2}{g\rho_F}}. \quad (8)$$

2. BCS as a mean-field theory

4+2+4 Punkte

In this problem we will consider electrons interacting through the same type of interaction as in the previous problem. That is we consider the following Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \xi_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2} V(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) c_{\mathbf{k}_1\uparrow}^\dagger c_{-\mathbf{k}_1\downarrow}^\dagger c_{-\mathbf{k}_2\downarrow} c_{\mathbf{k}_2\uparrow},$$

where

$$V(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = \begin{cases} -g, & \epsilon_F \leq \frac{\hbar^2 k_i^2}{2m} \leq \epsilon_F + \hbar\omega_D, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

- (a) Proceeding analogously to the Hartree-Fock mean-field theory in problem 15 convince yourself that a mean-field decoupling of the Hamiltonian yields

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \xi_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} - \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow}^\dagger c_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow}^\dagger - \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^* c_{\mathbf{k}\downarrow} c_{-\mathbf{k}\uparrow} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2} V(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) \langle c_{\mathbf{k}_1\uparrow}^\dagger c_{-\mathbf{k}_1\downarrow}^\dagger \rangle \langle c_{\mathbf{k}_2\downarrow} c_{-\mathbf{k}_2\uparrow} \rangle,$$

with

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k}_1} = - \sum_{\mathbf{k}_2} V(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) \langle c_{-\mathbf{k}_2\downarrow} c_{\mathbf{k}_2\uparrow} \rangle. \quad (9)$$

- (b) Show that the Hamiltonian can be diagonalised by the following unitary transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow}^\dagger \\ \alpha_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{\mathbf{k}} & \sin \theta_{\mathbf{k}} \\ \sin \theta_{\mathbf{k}} & -\cos \theta_{\mathbf{k}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow}^\dagger \\ c_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow} \end{pmatrix},$$

such that

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} E_{\mathbf{k}} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^\dagger \alpha_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} + \text{constant}.$$

Derive an expression for $E_{\mathbf{k}}$.

- (c) By writing the original fermionic operators in terms of their transformed counterparts, use Equation (9) to derive a self-consistency equation for $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$. The expectation value is with respect to the ground state

$$|BCS\rangle = \prod_{\mathbf{k}} \alpha_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow} |0\rangle \sim \prod_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\cos \theta_{\mathbf{k}} - \sin \theta_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow}^\dagger c_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow}^\dagger \right) |0\rangle,$$

where $|0\rangle$ denotes the vacuum.