

Formale Grundlagen (Logik)

Modul 04-006-1001

Predicate Logic IV

Leipzig University

February 1st, 2024

Fabian Heck

(Slides based on Partee, ter Meulen und Wall 1990
“Mathematical Methods in Linguistics”)

Recap: Vocabulary of predicate logic

- 1 individual constants: $\{dan, norbert, m, j, \dots\} \rightarrow terms$
- 2 individual variables: $\{x, y, z, \dots\} \rightarrow terms$
- 3 predicate constants: $\{C, D, L, \dots\}$
- 4 connectives: $\{\neg, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow\}$
- 5 auxiliary symbols: $(,), [,]$
- 6 quantifiers: \forall universal, \exists existential

Recap: Syntax of predicate logic

(1) *Syntax of predicate logic*

- a. If δ is an n -place predicate and t_1, \dots, t_n are terms, then $\delta(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is a well-formed formula.
- b. If ϕ is a formula, then $(\neg\phi)$ is a well-formed formula.
- c. If ϕ and ψ are formulas, then $(\phi \wedge \psi)$, $(\phi \vee \psi)$, $(\phi \rightarrow \psi)$, and $(\phi \leftrightarrow \psi)$ are well-formed formulas.
- d. If ϕ is a formula and x is an individual variable, then $(\forall x)\phi$ and $(\exists x)\phi$ are well-formed formulas.
- e. Nothing else is a formula.

Recap: Semantics of predicate logic

(2) *Semantics of predicate logic*

- a. If δ is an n -place predicate and t_1, \dots, t_n are terms, then $\llbracket \delta(t_1, \dots, t_n) \rrbracket^M = 1$ iff $\langle \llbracket t_1 \rrbracket^M, \dots, \llbracket t_n \rrbracket^M \rangle \in \llbracket \delta \rrbracket^M$.
- b. If ϕ is a formula, then $\llbracket (\neg\phi) \rrbracket^M = 1$ iff $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket^M = 0$.
- c. If ϕ and ψ are formulas, then $\llbracket (\phi \wedge \psi) \rrbracket^M = 1$ iff both $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket^M = 1$ and $\llbracket \psi \rrbracket^M = 1$.
- d. If ϕ and ψ are formulas, then $\llbracket (\phi \vee \psi) \rrbracket^M = 1$ iff at least one of $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket^M, \llbracket \psi \rrbracket^M = 1$.
- e. If ϕ and ψ are formulas, then $\llbracket (\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rrbracket^M = 1$ iff either $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket^M = 0$ or $\llbracket \psi \rrbracket^M = 1$.
- f. If ϕ and ψ are formulas, then $\llbracket (\phi \leftrightarrow \psi) \rrbracket^M = 1$ iff $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket^M = \llbracket \psi \rrbracket^M$.

Recap: Semantics of predicate logic with quantifiers

- the trick: let the open statement in the scope of the quantifier assume a truth-value temporarily
- specifically, we let the variable take on the values of all the individuals in the domain D one by one and see what truth value the statement would have for each of these individuals

- (3) a. $\llbracket (\forall x)H(x) \rrbracket^M = \text{TRUE}$ iff $\llbracket x \rrbracket^M \in \llbracket H \rrbracket^M$ for all individuals in D ; if for even one element in D , $\llbracket x \rrbracket^M \notin \llbracket H \rrbracket^M$, then $\llbracket (\forall x)H(x) \rrbracket^M = \text{FALSE}$
- b. $\llbracket (\exists x)H(x) \rrbracket^M = \text{TRUE}$ iff $\llbracket x \rrbracket^M \in \llbracket H \rrbracket^M$ for at least one individual in D ; if for no element in D , $\llbracket x \rrbracket^M \in \llbracket H \rrbracket^M$, then $\llbracket (\exists x)H(x) \rrbracket^M = \text{FALSE}$

Semantics of quantifiers: assignment functions

- the rules in (4-a,b) both make reference to $\llbracket x \rrbracket^M$, i.e. the denotation of a variable
- strictly speaking, such a denotation is not available in our models so far
- what the wordings “for all individuals in D ”/“for at least one individual in D ”, respectively, suggest, is that we need a means to have a variable assume one or more particular values

- (4) a. $\llbracket (\forall x)H(x) \rrbracket^M = \text{TRUE}$ iff $\llbracket x \rrbracket^M \in \llbracket H \rrbracket^M$ for all individuals in D ; if for even one element in D , $\llbracket x \rrbracket^M \notin \llbracket H \rrbracket^M$, then $\llbracket (\forall x)H(x) \rrbracket^M = \text{FALSE}$
- b. $\llbracket (\exists x)H(x) \rrbracket^M = \text{TRUE}$ iff $\llbracket x \rrbracket^M \in \llbracket H \rrbracket^M$ for at least one individual in D ; if for no element in D , $\llbracket x \rrbracket^M \in \llbracket H \rrbracket^M$, then $\llbracket (\exists x)H(x) \rrbracket^M = \text{FALSE}$

Semantics of quantifiers: assignment functions

- to this end, we add an assignment function g (“Belegungsfunktion”) to the model, the purpose of which is to assign a particular value to each variable

(5) $M = \langle D, I \rangle$, where:

a. $D = \{Mary, Jane, MMil\}$

b. $I =$

term	value	predicate	value
m	$Mary$	S	$\{Mary, Jane\}$
j	$Jane$	B	$\{MMil\}$
$mmil$	$MMil$	R	$\{\langle Mary, MMil \rangle\}$

c. $g(x) = Jane, g(y) = Mary$

(6) *Modified assignment:*

A modified assignment function $g' = g^{d/v}$ is a function that behaves exactly like g except that it assigns the individual $d \in D$ to the variable v .

Semantics of quantifiers: assignment functions

Semantics of predicate logic (part I):

- (7) a. If α is a non-logical constant, then $\llbracket \alpha \rrbracket^{M,g} = I(\alpha)$
b. If α is a variable, then $\llbracket \alpha \rrbracket^{M,g} = g(\alpha)$
- (8) If δ is an n -place predicate and t_1, \dots, t_n are terms, then $\llbracket \delta(t_1, \dots, t_n) \rrbracket^{M,g} = 1$ iff $\langle \llbracket t_1 \rrbracket^{M,g}, \dots, \llbracket t_n \rrbracket^{M,g} \rangle \in \llbracket \delta \rrbracket^{M,g}$.
- (9) For any formula ϕ, ψ :
- $\llbracket (\neg\phi) \rrbracket^{M,g} = 1$ iff $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket^{M,g} = 0$.
 - $\llbracket (\phi \wedge \psi) \rrbracket^{M,g} = 1$ iff both $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket^{M,g} = 1$ and $\llbracket \psi \rrbracket^{M,g} = 1$.
 - $\llbracket (\phi \vee \psi) \rrbracket^{M,g} = 1$ iff at least one of $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket^{M,g}, \llbracket \psi \rrbracket^{M,g} = 1$.
 - $\llbracket (\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rrbracket^{M,g} = 1$ iff either $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket^{M,g} = 0$ or $\llbracket \psi \rrbracket^{M,g} = 1$.
 - $\llbracket (\phi \leftrightarrow \psi) \rrbracket^{M,g} = 1$ iff $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket^{M,g} = \llbracket \psi \rrbracket^{M,g}$.

Semantics of quantifiers: assignment functions

Semantics of predicate logic (part II):

- (10) a. If ϕ is a formula, and x is a variable, then $\llbracket (\exists x)\phi \rrbracket^{M,g} = 1$ iff there is at least one $d \in D$ such that $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket^{M,g^{d/x}} = 1$
- b. If ϕ is a formula, and x is a variable, then $\llbracket (\forall x)\phi \rrbracket^{M,g} = 1$ iff for all $d \in D$, $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket^{M,g^{d/x}} = 1$
- (11) For any formula ϕ , $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket^M = 1$ iff for all assignments g , $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket^{M,g} = 1$.

Semantics of quantifiers: assignment functions

- Illustration: the calculation of $\llbracket (12-b) \rrbracket^{M,g}$

- (12) a. Mary is reading a book.
b. $(\exists x)(book(x) \wedge read(m, x))$

- (13) $M = \langle D, I \rangle$, where:

- a. $D = \{Mary, Jane, MMil\}$

b. $I =$

term	value	predicate	value
m	<i>Mary</i>	<i>student</i>	$\{Mary, Jane\}$
j	<i>Jane</i>	<i>book</i>	$\{MMil\}$
$mmil$	<i>MMil</i>	<i>read</i>	$\{\langle Mary, MMil \rangle\}$

- c. $g(x) = Jane, g(y) = Mary$

Semantics of quantifiers: assignment functions

(14) $\llbracket (\exists x)(\text{book}(x) \wedge \text{read}(m, x)) \rrbracket^{M,g}$

(15) a. $\llbracket \text{book} \rrbracket^{M,g} = I(\text{book}) = \{MMil\}$, and $\llbracket x \rrbracket^{M,g} = g(x) = \text{Jane}$
(rules (7-a) and (7-b))

b. $\llbracket \text{book}(x) \rrbracket^{M,g} = 0$ because $\text{Jane} \notin \llbracket \text{book} \rrbracket^{M,g}$
(rule 8)

c. $\llbracket \text{read} \rrbracket^{M,g} = I(\text{read}) = \{\langle \text{Mary}, MMil \rangle\}$, and $\llbracket m \rrbracket^{M,g} = I(m) = \text{Mary}$
(2× rule (7-a))

d. $\llbracket \text{read}(m, x) \rrbracket^{M,g} = 0$, because $\langle \text{Mary}, \text{Jane} \rangle \notin \llbracket \text{read} \rrbracket^{M,g}$
(rule 8)

e. $\llbracket (\text{book}(x) \wedge \text{read}(m, x)) \rrbracket^{M,g} = 0$
(rule (9-b), applied to (15-b) and (15-d))

Semantics of quantifiers: assignment functions

- to compute $\llbracket (\exists x)(book(x) \wedge read(m, x)) \rrbracket^{M, g}$, we must check whether there is a modified assignment $g' = g^{d/x}$ such that $\llbracket (book(x) \wedge read(m, x)) \rrbracket^{M, g^{d/x}} = 1$ for some $d \in D$ (rule (10-a))
- there is such an assignment: $g'(x) = MMil$:

- (16) a. $\llbracket (book(x) \wedge read(m, x)) \rrbracket^{M, g'} = 1$ because $g'(x) = MMil$, and $MMil \in \llbracket book \rrbracket^{M, g'}$, and $\langle Mary, MMil \rangle \in \llbracket read \rrbracket^{M, g'}$
- b. $\llbracket (\exists x)(book(x) \wedge read(m, x)) \rrbracket^{M, g} = 1$

- at this point, one may note that the computation would not have been different if we had started with an assignment function different from g in the first place
- in other words: the truth value of $(\exists x)(book(x) \wedge read(m, x))$ is independent from the assignment function it is evaluated against: the only variable it contains is bound
- therefore (rule (11)):

- (17) $\llbracket (\exists x)(book(x) \wedge read(m, x)) \rrbracket^M = 1$

Semantics of quantifiers: assignment functions

- Illustration: the calculation of $\llbracket (18-b) \rrbracket^{M,g}$

(18) a. Every student is reading a book.

b. $(\forall y)(student(y) \rightarrow (\exists x)(book(x) \wedge read(y, x)))$

(19) $M = \langle D, I \rangle$, where:

a. $D = \{Mary, Jane, MMil\}$

b. $I =$

term	value	predicate	value
<i>m</i>	<i>Mary</i>	<i>student</i>	$\{Mary, Jane\}$
<i>j</i>	<i>Jane</i>	<i>book</i>	$\{MMil\}$
<i>mmil</i>	<i>MMil</i>	<i>read</i>	$\langle Mary, MMil \rangle$

c. $g(x) = Jane, g(y) = Mary$

Semantics of quantifiers: assignment functions

$$(20) \quad \llbracket (\forall y)(student(y) \rightarrow (\exists x)(book(x) \wedge read(y, x))) \rrbracket^{M,g}$$

$$(21) \quad \text{a.} \quad \llbracket book \rrbracket^{M,g} = I(book) = \{MMil\}, \text{ and } \llbracket x \rrbracket^{M,g} = g(x) = Jane$$

(rules (7-a) and (7-b))

$$\text{b.} \quad \llbracket book(x) \rrbracket^{M,g} = 0 \text{ because } Jane \notin \llbracket book \rrbracket^{M,g}$$

(rule 8)

$$\text{c.} \quad \llbracket read \rrbracket^{M,g} = I(read) = \{\langle Mary, MMil \rangle\}, \text{ and } \llbracket y \rrbracket^{M,g} = g(y) = Mary$$

(rules (7-a) and (7-b))

$$\text{d.} \quad \llbracket read(y, x) \rrbracket^{M,g} = 0, \text{ because } \langle Mary, Jane \rangle \notin \llbracket read \rrbracket^{M,g}$$

(rule 8)

$$\text{e.} \quad \llbracket (book(x) \wedge read(y, x)) \rrbracket^{M,g} = 0$$

(rule (9-b), applied to (21-b) and (21-d))

Semantics of quantifiers: assignment functions

- to compute $\llbracket (\exists x)(\text{book}(x) \wedge \text{read}(m, x)) \rrbracket^{M, g}$, we must check whether there is a modified assignment $g' = g^{d/x}$ such that $\llbracket (\text{book}(x) \wedge \text{read}(m, x)) \rrbracket^{M, g^{d/x}} = 1$ for some $d \in D$ (rule (10-a))
- again, there is such an assignment: $g'(x) = \text{MMil}$:

- (22) a. $\llbracket (\text{book}(x) \wedge \text{read}(m, x)) \rrbracket^{M, g'} = 1$ because $g'(x) = \text{MMil}$, and $\text{MMil} \in \llbracket \text{book} \rrbracket^{M, g'}$, and $\langle \text{Mary}, \text{MMil} \rangle \in \llbracket \text{read} \rrbracket^{M, g'}$
- b. $\llbracket (\exists x)(\text{book}(x) \wedge \text{read}(m, x)) \rrbracket^{M, g} = 1$

- we continue:

- (23) a. $\llbracket \text{student} \rrbracket^{M, g} = I(\text{student}) = \{\text{Mary}, \text{Jane}\}$, and $\llbracket y \rrbracket^{M, g} = g(y) = \text{Mary}$ (rules (7-a) and (7-b))
- b. $\llbracket \text{student}(y) \rrbracket^{M, g} = 1$ because $\text{Mary} \in \llbracket \text{student} \rrbracket^{M, g}$ (rule (8))
- c. $\llbracket (\text{student}(y) \rightarrow (\exists x)(\text{book}(x) \wedge \text{read}(y, x))) \rrbracket^{M, g} = 1$ (rule (9-d), applied to (22-b) and (23-b))

Semantics of quantifiers: assignment functions

- $\llbracket (\forall y)(student(y) \rightarrow (\exists x)(book(x) \wedge read(m, x))) \rrbracket^{M,g}$: we check whether there is a $g' = g^{d/y}$ such that $\llbracket (student(y) \rightarrow (\exists x)(book(x) \wedge read(m, x))) \rrbracket^{M,g^{d/y}} = 1$ for all $d \in D$ (rule (10-b))
- let us try the assignment $g'' = g^{Jane/y} = g^{MMil/x^{Jane/y}}$
- that is, let us see whether by further modifying our previous g' , we can get $\llbracket (student(y) \rightarrow (\exists x)(book(x) \wedge read(m, x))) \rrbracket^{M,g''} = 1$, assuming that $g''(y) = Jane$:

- (24) a. $\llbracket student(y) \rrbracket^{M,g''} = 1$ because $g''(y) = Jane$, and $Jane \in \llbracket student(y) \rrbracket^{M,g''}$
(rules (7-b) and (8))
- b. $\llbracket (book(x) \wedge read(y, x)) \rrbracket^{M,g''} = 0$ because $g''(x) = MMil$,
 $MMil \in \llbracket book \rrbracket^{M,g''}$, and $\langle Jane, MMil \rangle \notin \llbracket read \rrbracket^{M,g''}$
(rules (7-b) and $2 \times$ (8))
- c. $\llbracket (\exists x)(book(x) \wedge read(y, x)) \rrbracket^{M,g''} = 0$ because there is no other
function $g''' = g^{d/x}$ for some $d \in D$ such that
 $\llbracket (book(x) \wedge read(y, x)) \rrbracket^{M,g'''} = 1$
- d. $\llbracket (student(y) \rightarrow (\exists x)(book(x) \wedge read(m, x))) \rrbracket^{M,g''} = 0$ because of rule
(9-d) applied to (24-a) and (24-c)
- e. $\llbracket (\forall y)(student(y) \rightarrow (\exists x)(book(x) \wedge read(m, x))) \rrbracket^{M,g} = 0$
(rule (10-b) and (24-d))