Modul 04-006-1001: Formale Grundlagen (Logik)

Solutions 10

Excercise 1: Translation into English

- Translate the following English sentences into predicate logic. Choose your own variables and predicate letters, giving the key.
- (1) a. Everything is black or white. $(\forall x)(B(x) \lor W(x))$ B(x) = 'x is black'; W(x) = 'x is white'
 - b. A dog is a quadruped. $(\forall x)(D(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$ D(x) = 'x is a dog'; Q(x) = 'x is quadruped'
 - c. Everybody loves somebody. $(\forall x)(\exists y)(L(x, y))$ L(x, y) = 'x loves y'
 - d. There is someone whom everyone loves. $(\exists y)(\forall x)(L(x,y))$

L(x,y) ='x loves y'

- e. No one loves himself, unless it is John. $(\forall x)(L(x,x) \rightarrow (x = j))$ L(x,y) = 'x loves y'; j = John
- f. People who live in Leipzig love it. $(\forall x)((P(x) \land M(x,l)) \rightarrow L(x,l))$ P(x) = 'x is a person'; M(x, y) = 'x lives in y'; L(x, y) = 'x loves y'; l = Leipzig
- g. If someone does not love Leipzig, he does not know it. $(\forall x)((\neg L(x,l)) \rightarrow (\neg K(x,l)))$ L(x,y) = 'x loves y'; l = Leipzig; K(x,y) = 'x knows y'
- h. Give him a finger, and he takes the whole hand. $(\forall x)(\forall y)((F(y,x) \land G(x,y,g)) \rightarrow (\exists z)(H(z,y) \land I(g,z,x)))$ F(x,y) = 'x is a finger of y'; G(x,y,z) = 'x gives y to z'; H(x,y) = 'x is thehand of which y is a finger'; I(x,y,z) = 'x takes y from z'; g = 'he'
- i. If someone is noisy, he annoys everybody. $((\exists x)N(x) \rightarrow (\forall y)A(y));$ N(x) = 'x is noisy'; A(x) = 'x is annoyed'
- j. Although no one made noise, John was annoyed. $((\neg(\exists x)N(x)) \land A(j))$ N(x) = 'x is noisy'; A(x) = 'x is annoyed'; j = 'John'
- k. Only drunk drivers under 18 cause bad accidents. $(\forall x)(A(x) \rightarrow B(x))$ A(x) = 'x causes a bad accident'; B(x) = 'x is a drunk driver under 18'

continues on next page \hookrightarrow

Excercise 2: Bound vs. free variables

- For each of the expressions below, state whether the statement is open (i.e., contains unbound variables). Name the free variables (i.e. the variables that are unbound).
- a. (∀x)(P(x) ∨ Q(x, y)) Open statement: x is bound by ∀x; y is free.
 b. (∀y)(Q(x) → (∀z)P(y, z)) Open statement: y is bound by ∀y; z is bound by ∀z; x is free.
 c. (∀x)(P(x) → (∃y)(Q(y) → (∀z)R(y, z))) All variables are bound: x by ∀x, y by ∃y and z by ∀z.

Excercise 3: Predicate logic; models and quantifiers

• Evaluate the truth-values of the following expressions based on model M:

(3)
$$M = \langle D, I \rangle$$
, where:

a. $D = \{Sokrates, Aristotle, Plato, Michelangelo, Bach, Tarski\}$

b.	I =	term	value	predicate	value
		s	Sokrates	Н	{Sokrates, Aristotle, Plato}
		m	Michelangelo	M	{Sokrates, Aristotle, Plato,
		b	Bach		Michelangelo, Bach, Tarski}
		t	Tarski	L	$\{\langle Sokrates, Sokrates \rangle, \langle Sokrates, Aristotle \rangle, \rangle$
		a	Aristotle		$\langle Michelangelo, Bach \rangle, \langle Bach, Michelangelo \rangle,$
		p	Plato		$\langle Tarski, Plato \rangle, \langle Plato, Michelangelo \rangle,$
					$\langle Aristotle, Tarski \rangle \}$

(4) a.
$$[[(\exists y)(\forall x)L(x,y)]]^M = \text{FALSE}$$

Reasoning: The statement above is TRUE iff there is at least one individual y in D, which appears as the second member of an ordered pair in L, for every member in D. We assign a temporary value in D to y and see whether, for that value of y, $[[(\forall y)L(x, y)]]^M$ is TRUE. Given that the outermost quantifier is an existential quantifier, we just need to find one possible value of y that makes $[[(\forall y)L(x, y)]]^M$ TRUE; then we would have shown that the entire expression is TRUE. Let us start by temporarily giving y the value *Socrates*; i.e. $[[y]]^M = Socrates$. We see that, there is only one ordered pair, namely $\langle Socrates, Socrates \rangle$, in L in which y occurs as the second member of L. In other words, when $[[x]]^M = Socrates$, then y (denoting *Socrates*) occurs as the second member of the ordered pair $\langle x, y \rangle$ in L; for all other possible values of xin D, $[[y]]^M = Socrates$ does not occur as the second member of the ordered pair. We now go back and assign y the temporary value *Aristotle*. For this value of y, there are no values of xsuch that y is the second member of the ordered pair in L. We thus cycle through all possible values of y in D. In doing so, we see that there is no value we can assign to y such that the expression $[[(\forall y)L(x, y)]]^M$ is TRUE. Thus, $[[(\exists y))(\forall x)L(x, y)]^M = FALSE$. (4) b. $[\![(\forall x) \neg (\exists y) L(x, y)]\!]^M = \text{FALSE}$

Reasoning: This statement is TRUE iff for every individual x in the domain D, there is no individual y in D such that x is the first member of an ordered pair in L and y the second member. This means that as soon as we find a value for y such that y is the second member of an ordered pair (and x is any member in D), then the entire statement is FALSE. Here again, we start with the outermost quantifier and temporarily assign values to x in D. Let $[\![x]\!]^M = Socrates$; we now turn to y and see if we can find a value for y that makes y the second member of an ordered pair. As it turns out, when $[\![y]\!]^M = Socrates$, it is the second member of the ordered pair in L, for $[\![x]\!]^M = Socrates$. Thus $[\![(\forall x) \neg (\exists y)L(x, y)]\!]^M = FALSE$

(4) c. $[((\exists z)M(z) \land (\forall y)(H(y) \rightarrow L(y,b)))]^M = \text{FALSE}$

Reasoning: This statement is TRUE iff there is at least one individual z in the domain D which is a member of M and for every individual y in D, if y is a member of H, then it is the first member of an ordered pair in L when the second member of that ordered pair is Bach. In order for the whole statement to be TRUE, each of the conjuncts must be TRUE, so we test them one by one. First we test the truth value of $[[(\exists z)M(z)]]^M$. We start with $[[x]]^M = Tarski$. Is *Tarski* a member of M? Yes, it is. Thus, when x denotes Tarski, it makes M TRUE. I.e. there is at least one member of D that is also a member of M. Thus: $[[(\exists z)M(z)]]^M = 1$. Now we determine the truth-value of $[[(\forall y)(H(y) \rightarrow L(y, b))]]^M$. Is there a value of y that makes the antecedent $[[H(y)]]^M$ be TRUE and the consequent $[[L(y, b)]]^M$ be FALSE? Yes, there is. In fact, when y denotes either Socrates, Aristotle or Plato, it is a member of H but it is not the second member of an ordered pair in L where the second value is Bach, thus for these values $[[H(y)]]^M$ is TRUE M and $[[L(y, b)]]^M$ is FALSE. Thus, $[[(\forall y)(H(y) \rightarrow L(y, b))]]^M$ is also FALSE. Thus the entire expression $[[((\exists z)M(z) \land (\forall y)(H(y) \rightarrow L(y, b))]]^M$ is also FALSE.

Exercises 4:

• Given the equivalences in (5), prove the equivalence between (6-a,b). Give the names of the laws of logic that you make reference to in your proof.

(5) a.
$$(\neg(\forall x)(P(x))) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x)\neg(P(x))$$
 (7)
b. $(\neg(\exists x)(P(x))) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x)\neg(P(x))$

- a. $(\neg(\exists x)(K(x) \land (\neg F(x,r)))) \Leftrightarrow$ ((5-b))
- b. $(\forall x)(\neg (K(x) \land (\neg F(x, r)))) \Leftrightarrow$ (DeMorgan's Law)
- c. $(\forall x)((\neg K(x)) \lor (\neg (\neg F(x,r)))) \Leftrightarrow$ (Complement Law)
- d. $(\forall x)((\neg K(x)) \lor F(x,r)) \Leftrightarrow$ (Conditional Law)
- e. $(\forall x)(K(x) \to F(x,r))$
- (6) a. Kein Kind fährt nicht nach Rom. $(\neg(\exists x)(K(x) \land (\neg F(x, r))))$
 - b. Alle Kinder fahren nach Rom. $(\forall x)(K(x) \rightarrow F(x, r))$